



## **Simulation Of Three Phase Multilevel Inverter With Reduced Number Of Switches**

Mehta Prashant N, Prof. Ami Vyas

Sarvajanic College of Engineering & Technology, Dr R K Desai Marg, Athwalines, Surat, Gujarat, India

---

### **ABSTRACT:**

Multilevel inverter having wide range of application just because of its flexibility, easier control, less cost and less area. Multilevel inverter can be used in small as well as medium and large power application. In MLI output voltage can be obtained pure with help of appropriate control strategy. THD of output voltage of MLI is quite less. Despite of all advantages MLI having issued with higher number of power electronics components. As number of switches increases voltage drop related to switch increases. Moreover, switching loss as well as overall converter loss increases. Main focus of study is to decrease power semiconductor switches for particular levels. A multilevel dc link using fixed dc voltage supply and cascaded half-bridge is connected in such a way that the new inverter outputs the required output voltage levels. For the purpose of increasing the number of voltage levels with fewer number of power electronic components, the structure of the new topology has been developed.

**Keywords:** Multilevel Voltage Source Inverter, Symmetric & Asymmetric Inverter, Power Losses, Semi Cascaded topology, Voltage Drop, Reduction of Circuit Components.

---

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

Inverters are fundamentally AC to DC converters. The working principle of an inverter is to change DC input voltage to AC output Voltage of desired magnitude and frequency. Ideally the output voltage waveforms of inverters should be sinusoidal however, they are non sinusoidal and contain harmonics. For low and medium power applications, square wave or quasi wave voltages may be competent but for high power application, low distorted sinusoidal waveforms are required. Nowadays with the accessibility of high speed power semiconductor devices, the harmonics contents of output voltage can be minimized or reduced by a huge amount by using different switching techniques[1].

The most attractive features of multilevel inverters are as follows:

1. They can produce output voltages with extremely low distortion and lower  $dv/dt$ [3].
2. They draw input current with very low distortion[3].
3. They generate smaller common-mode (CM) voltage, thus reducing the stress in the motor bearings. In addition, using sophisticated modulation methods, CM voltages can be eliminated[3].
4. They can operate with a lower switching frequency[3].

These properties make multilevel converters very attractive to the industry and, nowadays, researchers all over the world are spending great effort trying to improve multilevel converter performances such as the control simplification and the performance of different optimization algorithms in order to enhance the THD of the output signals, the balancing of the dc capacitor voltage, and the ripple of the currents[2]. These days researchers are focused on the harmonic elimination using recalculated switching functions, harmonic mitigation to fulfill specific grid codes, the development of new multilevel converter topologies (hybrid or new ones), and new control strategies[1].

The most common multilevel inverter configurations are[1]

1. Neutral point clamped (NPC) multilevel inverter
2. Cascaded H-bridge (CHB) multilevel inverter
3. Flying capacitor multilevel (FC) inverter.


The main drawbacks of three topologies are the deviating voltage of neutral point voltage in NPC, unbalance voltage in dc link of FC, large number of separated dc supplies in CHB[1]-[2].

Other topologies are also introduced with time. Lately, hybrid multistage and asymmetrical multilevel inverter topologies are admired in research area. The cost and size of the inverter is reduced and dependability is improved since minimum number of electronic components, capacitors and dc supplies are used in the inverter[3]. The hybrid multilevel inverter is a different multilevel inverter configuration with different number of dc supplies. With such converter different modulation

strategies and control systems such as sinusoidal pulse width modulation (SPWM), selective harmonic elimination (SHE-PWM), space vector modulation (SVM) and others are developed. Bidirectional switches with an appropriate control technique can improve the performance of multilevel inverters in terms of reducing the number of semiconductor components, minimizing the withstanding voltage and achieving the desired output voltage with higher levels [9].

### II. THREE PHASE ASYMMETRICAL HYBRID TOPOLOGY

The new topology consists of three bidirectional switches ( $S_1$ – $S_2$ ,  $D_{a1}$ – $D_{c2}$ ), two switches–two diodes type, are added to the conventional three-phase two-level bridge ( $Q_1$ – $Q_6$ ). The bidirectional switches are used to block the higher voltage and ease current flow to and from the midpoint (o). A multilevel dc link built by a single dc voltage supply with fixed magnitude of  $4V_{dc}$  and CHB having two unequal dc voltage supplies of  $V_{dc}$  and  $2V_{dc}$  are connected to (+, –, o) bridge terminals. The CHB cells are used to obtain desired number of output level. Since the new topology inverter is designed to achieve five voltage levels, the power circuit of the CHB makes use of two series cells having two unequal dc voltage supplies. In each cell, the two switches are turned ON and OFF under inverted conditions to output two different voltage levels. The first cell dc voltage supply  $V_{dc}$  is added if switch  $T_1$  is turned ON leading to  $V_{mg} = +V_{dc}$  where  $V_{mg}$  is the voltage at node (m) with respect to inverter ground (g) or bypassed if switch  $T_2$  is turned ON leading to  $V_{mg} = 0$ . Similarly, the second cell dc voltage supply  $2V_{dc}$  is added when switch  $T_3$  is turned ON resulting in  $V_{om} = +2V_{dc}$  where  $V_{om}$  is the voltage at midpoint (o) with respect to node (m) or bypassed when switch  $T_3$  is turned ON resulting in  $V_{om} = 0$ . [2]



**Figure 1 Three phase asymmetrical hybrid topology [2]**


As suggested the control strategies of the existing Multilevel inverter is quite complex and time consuming so it is thoughtful process to develop a control strategy which is not complex and time consuming.

- Fundamental frequency considered to be 50 Hz therefore the time period of one cycle is 20 ms.
- Now to generate five level in output phase voltage means total 5 steps in one cycle, four steps for positive voltage, four steps for negative voltage and one zero level.
- If we trace output voltage it contains total 24 steps in one complete cycle. So 20 ms is of one cycle and 24 steps in output voltage. For simplicity we consider that every step is for 1 ms and then change to another step.
- As we get 24 steps in one cycle but to generate every step with particular level we have to trace the switching position. Means to get 0 level how many switches turn ON and How many switches turn OFF.

**Table 1 Switching table**

Switches	Q <sub>1</sub>	Q <sub>2</sub>	Q <sub>3</sub>	Q <sub>4</sub>	Q <sub>5</sub>	Q <sub>6</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>4</sub>	S <sub>5</sub>	S <sub>6</sub>	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>
t <sub>1</sub>	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
t <sub>2</sub>	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
t <sub>3</sub>	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
t <sub>4</sub>	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
t <sub>5</sub>	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
t <sub>6</sub>	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
t <sub>7</sub>	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
t <sub>8</sub>	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
t <sub>9</sub>	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
t <sub>10</sub>	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1
t <sub>11</sub>	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
t <sub>12</sub>	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0
t <sub>13</sub>	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
t <sub>14</sub>	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
t <sub>15</sub>	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
t <sub>16</sub>	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
t <sub>17</sub>	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
t <sub>18</sub>	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
t <sub>19</sub>	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
t <sub>20</sub>	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
t <sub>21</sub>	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
t <sub>22</sub>	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0
t <sub>23</sub>	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
t <sub>24</sub>	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1

For this we have to make a Vector of every switches by tracing each level than apply it to switches accordingly.



**Figure 2 vector of a switch**

The above figure shows the repeating sequence of Q<sub>1</sub> which is defines in a form of vector in 24 different states.

III. SIMULATION & EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS




Figure 3 V<sub>ab</sub>





Figure 4 V<sub>ag</sub>



### CONCLUSION

In this paper, a new topology for three phase multilevel inverter which has reduced number of switches is studied. The new asymmetrical hybrid topology requires smaller amount of dc sources and gate driver circuits. To implement the inverter circuit, lower number of required devices results in to reduction in cost of the inverter and makes the control scheme comparatively simple. A prototype of three phase asymmetrical hybrid multilevel inverter is simulated for 5 levels. Harmonic distortion of the given topology is around 9.48%.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First and foremost, all gratitude to the omnipresent god for giving me the strength through my prayers and to plod me on despite the difficult situations I passed through till my graduation. I would like to express my gratitude towards my supervisor Prof. Ami Vyas , for her cooperation, guidance, inspiration, and valuable advices while doing this project. In addition, i sincerely thank all the lecturers who have taught me, for the lessons that have been delivered and the morals that have been gained. Not to forget mentioning my fellow friends, I would like to thank them for sharing useful ideas, information and moral support during the course of study. Last but not least, I would like to express my love, gratitude and appreciation to my beloved parents and brothers for their love, patience, support and encouragement they provide during my study.

### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Rodriguez, Jih-Sheng Lai and Fang ZhengPeng, "Multilevel inverters: a survey of topologies, controls, and applications", *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 724-738, 2002.
- [2] A. Masaoud, H. Ping, S. Mekhilef and A. Taallah, "New Three-Phase Multilevel Inverter With Reduced Number of Power Electronic Components", *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, vol. 29, no. 11, pp. 6018-6029, 2014.
- [3] A. Mokhberdoran and A. Ajami, "Symmetric and Asymmetric Design and Implementation of New Cascaded Multilevel Inverter Topology", *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, vol. 29, no. 12, pp. 6712-6724, 2014.
- [4] J. Rodriguez, S. Bernet, P. Steimer and I. Lizama, "A Survey on Neutral-Point-Clamped Inverters", *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 57, no. 7, pp. 2219-2230, 2010.
- [5] Fang ZhengPeng, "A generalized multilevel inverter topology with self voltage balancing", *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 611-618, 2001.
- [6] S. Mariethoz, "Systematic Design of High-Performance Hybrid Cascaded Multilevel Inverters With Active Voltage Balance and Minimum Switching Losses", *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, vol. 28, no. 7, pp. 3100-3113, 2013.
- [7] E. Babaei, "A Cascade Multilevel Converter Topology With Reduced Number of Switches", *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 2657-2664, 2008.
- [8] H. Belkamel, S. Mekhilef, M. Abdel Naeim and A. Masaoud, "Novel three-phase asymmetrical cascaded multilevel voltage source inverter", *IET Power Electronics*, vol. 6, no. 8, pp. 1696-1706, 2013.
- [9] P. Roshankumar, P. Rajeevan, K. Mathew, K. Gopakumar, J. Leon and L. Franquelo, "A Five-Level Inverter Topology with Single-DC Supply by Cascading a Flying Capacitor Inverter and an H-Bridge", *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 3505-3512, 2012.